Impact of Sociodemographic Factors on Quality of Life of Health care Workers

Muhammad Shahid Iqbal, Ahmed A. Albassam

Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, 11942, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Purpose: Several studies have proved that poor health state is a major risk factor for numerous unwanted effects. This study aimed to assess quality of life (QoL) and determine various factors persuading QoL in health care workers (HCWs). **Materials and Methods:** A cross-sectional study using WHOQOL-BREF research tool was designed and conducted among HCWs in Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia. Descriptive, comparative, and inferential statistics were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). **Results:** A total of 289 HCWs participated in the final study, 48% were male and 52% were female. A total of 69% of the study HCWs were married, and 58% had <10 years of experience. Statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) in marital status, education level, income, and year of practice were found in various domains of WHOQOL-BREF. Overall, mixed findings in various domains of WHOQOL-BREF were observed regarding HCWs' QoL in the studied cohort. **Conclusion:** The results indicated that HCWs had good-excellent QoL in various domains of WHOQOL-BREF in Saudi Arabia.

Key words: Quality of life, health care workers, WHOQOL-BREF, Saudi Arabia

INTRODUCTION

he Alma Ata declaration by the World Health Organization (WHO) mainly focusses on the provision of primary health care services and facilities that are easily accessible to every individual and their families.^[1-4] Health care workers (HCWs) quality of life (OoL) can be affected that could further affect their own health status and professional performance in fulfilling the health-care needs and provision of basic health-care facilities to the general public.^[3-7] QoL is a multidimensional aspect that can be used to assess the general wellbeing of individuals and societies.^[4,5] QoL also represents the health state of an individual and a society that primarily focusses on all aspects of physical and mental health and its impact on QoL.[6-8]

Besides, demanding professional responsibilities, sociodemographic and socioeconomic changes, and diverse treatment regimens can also affect QoL of HCWs.^[7-9] Across the globe, fewer studies in the past have explored impact of various factors such as age, gender, education, monthly income, experience, and up-to-date knowledge of HCWs on overall

QoL of HCWs and observed mixed findings.^[8-13] As a matter of fact, a decrease in overall QoL of HCWs can easily affect their work abilities and capacity, burden of work, provoking the negative feelings, decreased professional attitude and aptitude, their patients' QoL, and even unwanted conflicts with the peers.^[14-16]

The WHOQOL-BREF research tool has been used by plenteous studies conducted in different parts of the world to determine QoL of HCWs as well as their patients.^[7-10,17] To date, QoL among HCWs in central region of Saudi Arabia has not been well-explored using the WHOQOL-BREF. This study aimed to fill this scarcity and the need for published literature about overall QoL of HCWs in Central Saudi Arabia. This study determined the impact of various factors such as gender, age, marital status, educational level, monthly income, and continuous professional development (CPD) or continuous medical education (CME).

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Muhammad Shahid Iqbal, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, 11942, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: m.javed@psau.edu.sa

Received: 08-06-2020 **Revised:** 19-07-2020 **Accepted:** 15-08-2020

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was performed among HCWs in using a convenience sampling method in 2018 for 5 months in Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia. The WHOQOL-BREF research tool was used to assess QoL among HCWs. The WHOQOL-BREF has already been used to determine QoL among several populations in numerous countries across the globe. Impact of various factors such as gender, age, marital status, educational level, income, and CPDs or CMEs on QoL of HCWs was explored. All of the study participants were aged >18 years and signed written consent before taking part in the study. For exclusion criteria, those aged below 18 years, pregnant female HCWs or those who refused to sign the consent forms were excluded from the study. The study protocol was approved by the research and ethics committee of the concerned department, however, participation in this study was voluntary. All aspects of the study protocol were strictly confidential.

Statistical analyses

Data were entered and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24.0. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to determine socioeconomic determinants of QoL among HCWs. Percentages and frequencies were used for the categorical variables, while means and standard deviations were calculated for the continuous variables. Independent samples *t*-test was performed to calculate the means of the four domains of the WHOQOL-BREF. Chi-square test was also used to further investigate the statistically differences obtained. P < 0.05was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The demographic factors of the study's participants are presented in Figure 1. A total of 314 HCWs were approached

but only 289 replied with complete response for the filled questionnaire. There were more females (52.9%) than males (47.1%) participated in the study. Around 81.3% of the studied HCWs were Saudi nationals, and only 18.7% of the HCWs were non-Saudis.

Figure 2 presents the mean QoL scores obtained for all four domains of WHOQOL-BREF research tool among the studied HCWs. The mean scores in all four domains of the WHOQOL-BREF with standard deviations (SD) are presented. In the physical domain of WHOQOL-BREF, the score was 67.01 ± 13.67 . In the psychological domain, the score was 71.27 ± 14.15 . In the social domain, the score was 71.92 ± 17.06 , and in environment domain, the score was 68.00 ± 14.73 .

Table 1 denotes correlation coefficients and the relationship (bivariate) between studied factors and the mean domain scores. Statistically significant differences were observed between the scores for marital status and the social and environment domains (P < 0.001 and <0.001, respectively). The HCWs had significantly higher QoL scores in the social domain than in the environment domain, 73.47 ± 15.38 and 69.80 ± 15.43 , respectively. Besides, statistically significant differences were also observed between the scores for the environment domain against the highest education level (P = 0.005). Statistically significant differences were seen in all WHOQOL-BREF domains against experience levels.

Table 2 denotes statistically significant correlation coefficients between studied factors and the WHOQOL-BREF domains. Statistically significant positive and negative correlations were observed between the studied factors and the WHOQOL-BREF domains (P= 0.001–0.043).

DISCUSSION

Several studies conducted in numerous countries have explored diverse aspects of QoL among HCWs, but the





Table 1: WHOQOL-BREF domains' mean scores of studied factors						
Factors	WHOQOL-BREF domains					
	Physical	Psychological	Social	Environment		
Gender						
Male	67.37±14.32	70.72±16.11	71.35±16.93	69.29±14.03		
Female	66.69±13.10	71.77±15.76	72.42±15.61	66.86±16.90		
P-value	0.247	0.139	0.158	0.158		
Nationality						
Saudi	65.62±13.47	70.01±16.30	71.55±16.16	69.57±15.87		
Non-Saudi	67.33±15.61	71.56±08.61	72.00±15.18	67.64±16.16		
P-value	0.801	0.195	0.053	0.777		
Marital status						
Single/separated	65.10±11.99	71.39±14.53	68.43±16.35	63.97±15.70		
Married	67.87±13.50	71.22±16.50	73.47±15.38	69.80±15.43		
P-value	0.143	0.267	<0.001*	<0.001*		
Education						
Doctorate/specialized	70.35±12.52	72.38±12.60	74.14±12.12	72.34±12.27		
Bachelor/master	66.00±13.88	70.94±16.86	71.24±17.28	66.69±16.64		
P-value	0.098	0.308	0.373	0.005*		
Experience						
<10 years	65.17±13.82	70.66±17.47	70.65±18.01	65.69±16.99		
>10 years	69.61±12.95	72.13±12.92	73.70±12.25	71.26±13.59		
P-value	0.013*	0.044*	0.008*	0.021*		
Income						
<15,000 SAR	66.05±13.78	70.64±14.44	71.13±16.28	66.59±16.20		
>15,000 SAR	71.38±13.27	74.15±17.62	75.48±16.00	74.46±15.31		
P-value	0.079	0.688	0.216	0.072		
CPDs/CMEs						
Yes	68.83±12.99	73.28±16.98	73.38±15.63	70.04±14.90		
No	64.53±14.10	68.53±13.85	69.91±16.84	65.22±16.05		
P-value	0.003*	0.023*	0.076	<0.001*		



Figure 2: Mean quality of life scores of domains of WHOQOL-BREF

evidence is scarce in the literature from Saudi Arabia. Frequent access to health-care facilities, job frustration, availability of a variety of treatment regimens and medication use, and positive and negative psychological influences are among the major concerns affecting QoL among HCWs.^[18,19] To the best of our knowledge, to date, this is among the pioneer studies from Saudi Arabia regarding QoL of HCWs using WHOQOL-BREF, thus there are only fewer studies evident as cross-reference to this study. This study was especially designed to determine the impact of sociodemographic factors on QoL of HCWs in Saudi Arabia. Several factors were explored and their relationships toward overall QoL were determined using the WHOQOL-BREF.

Numerous developing countries are facing challenges in providing optimum health-care facilities to their population through highly qualified and competent HCWs. Undeniably, Saudi Arabia have one of the best health-care systems throughout the world where all of the health-care costs are borne by the government. Similarly, better treatment plans, adherence to the medications, compliance with the treatment

Table 2: Factors' correlation with WHOQOL-BREF domains					
Factors	Physical	Psychological	Social	Environment	
Marital status	-	-	0.001*	0.001*	
Education	-	-	-	0.011*	
Experience	0.004*	-	0.043*	0.023*	
Income	-	-	-	-	
CPDs/CMEs	0.006*	0.006*	-	0.001*	

* Significant at<0.05 level (two tailed)

regimens, lifestyles modification, and awareness of the precise drug regimen are greater contributing factors affecting QoL of the patients. Equally, patients' QoL is directly influenced by the QOL of the HCWs as if they are happier and satisfied with their lives and jobs, they will provide the best care plans to their patients and vice versa.^[20,21]

Similar to another study, our study found a number of sociodemographic factors that affected HRQoL and can be considered as significant contributors of QoL among HCWs.^[21,22] This study did not observe any statistically significant effect (P > 0.05) of gender on all domains of WHOQOL-BREF, whereby in physical domain, males were happier and more satisfied (67.37 ± 14.32) than females. In terms of psychological domain, females (71.77 ± 15.76) were found to be enjoying better QoL than males (70.72 \pm 16.11). In social domain of WHOQOL-BREF, again females had better QoL than males, 72.42 ± 15.61 and 71.35 ± 16.93 , respectively, and in environment domain, males (69.29 \pm 14.03) had good scores of QoL than females (66.86 \pm 16.90). These results were similar to other studies where the investigators found that no statistically significant differences exist in QoL scores between males and females although they were different populations, more female-oriented societies, different study sites, and different research tools used.^[17,23,24]

Higher education level often advances self-interest and involvement in improving general health states, which is a key determinant of self-satisfaction and an improved QoL. It is also a common observation that highly educated HCWs could have a better understanding of their patients' diseased state, drug doses, treatment regimens, and overall disease management.^[22-25] Besides, to provide pharmacotherapy, they are more likely to acclimatize their own lifestyle and adopt preventive measures, resulting in improvement in overall QoL of their patients but may result in decreased self QoL.^[18-20] In both developed and developing countries across the globe, more educated individuals are reported to live longer and enjoy better health conditions and status compared to the less educated.^[25] In our study, highly educated (doctorate/specialized) HCWs had higher QoL in all four domains of the WHOQOL-BREF than the other studied group with less education (bachelors/masters). This could help them in better understanding of their patients' disease states, which ultimately could help their patients to have an improved QoL.^[25,26] Interestingly, a statistically significance (P < 0.05) was observed in environment domain between the two groups. These study findings are similar to another study done in Malaysia where they observed the similar findings.^[27]

Additional noteworthy finding of the study was about income level that showed that HCWs earning more were more satisfied with their life and their QoL scores in all four domains of the WHOOOL-BREF. They were much better than the other group that was earning less than them but no statistically significant differences (P > 0.05) were found among all of the domains. It is evident from the results of the study that those earning more than 15,000 RM scored much higher in almost every domain of WHOOOL-BREF than the other group. These differences may be due to better economic and social conditions with better access to financial resources, the quality of their health care, and better access to opportunities to acquire the latest medical information and financial resources. Excellent lifestyle and high earnings can significantly improve overall QoL of an individual. Another contributing factor may be their social status, that is, spending more time with families and friends may positively affect their personal and social relationships. Undeniably, access to better financial resources appears to be a unique predictor of an improved QoL.[25,27] According to the findings of two other studies, income was significantly associated with the psychological and environment domains and overall general health satisfaction states.^[25,27] Upgrading in the work environment, healthy lifestyle and positive and enjoyable social activities may positively improve work performances of the HCWs.

CONCLUSION

Our study highlights that HCWs in Saudi Arabia enjoyed moderate-good QoL in the domains of WHQOL-BREF. In physical and environment domains, males had better QoL while in psychological and social domains females had better QoL.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research at Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia, to support this publication.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rifkin SB. Alma Ata after 40 years: Primary health care and health for all-from consensus to complexity. BMJ Glob Health 2018;3 Suppl 3:e001188.
- Basu S, Berkowitz SA, Phillips RL, Bitton A, Landon BE, Phillips RS. Association of primary care physician supply with population mortality in the United States, 2005-2015. JAMA Intern Med 2019;179:506-14.
- Odusanya IA, Akinlo AE. Income inequality and population health in Sub-Saharan Africa: A test of income inequality-health hypothesis. J Popul Soc Stud 2021;29:235-54.
- 4. Guanais F, Doubova SV, Leslie HH, Perez-Cuevas R, García-Elorrio E, Kruk ME. Patient-centered primary care and self-rated health in 6 Latin American and Caribbean countries: Analysis of a public opinion cross-sectional survey. PLoS Med 2018;15:e1002673.
- Koren G. Jerusalem conference on health policy. Isr J Health Policy Res 2019;8:168.
- Marquez DX, Aguiñaga S, Vásquez PM, Conroy DE, Erickson KI, Hillman C, *et al.* A systematic review of physical activity and quality of life and well-being. Transl Behav Med 2020;10:1098-109.
- 7. Ojelabi AO, Graham Y, Haighton C, Ling J. A systematic review of the application of Wilson and Cleary health-related quality of life model in chronic diseases. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2017;15:241.
- 8. Ibrahim NK, Alzahrani NA, Batwie AA, Abushal RA, Almogati G, Sattam A, *et al.* Quality of life, job satisfaction and their related factors among nurses working in King Abdulaziz University hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Contemp Nurse 2016;52:486-98.
- Colby L, Mareka M, Pillay S, Sallie F, van Staden C, du Plessis E, *et al.* The association between the levels of burnout and quality of life among fourth-year medical students at the university of the free state. S Afr J Psychiatr 2018;24:1101.
- Klein CJ, Riggenbach-Hays JJ, Sollenberger LM, Harney DM, McGarvey JS. Quality of life and compassion satisfaction in clinicians: A pilot intervention study for reducing compassion fatigue. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 2018;35:882-8.
- 11. Zhou H, Jiang F, Rakofsky J, Hu L, Liu T, Wu S, *et al.* Job satisfaction and associated factors among psychiatric nurses in tertiary psychiatric hospitals: Results from a nationwide cross-sectional study. J Adv Nurs 2019;75:3619-30.
- 12. Gong G, Phillips SG, Hudson C, Curti D, Philips BU. Higher US rural mortality rates linked to socioeconomic status, physician shortages, and lack of health insurance. Health Aff (Millwood) 2019;38:2003-10.
- 13. Flanagan S, Damery S, Combes G. The effectiveness of integrated care interventions in improving patient quality of life (QoL) for patients with chronic conditions. An overview of the systematic review evidence. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2017;15:188.

- 14. Wood AE, Prins A, Bush NE, Hsia JF, Bourn LE, Earley MD, *et al.* Reduction of burnout in mental health care providers using the provider resilience mobile application. Community Ment Health J 2017;53:452-9.
- Ioannou P, Katsikavali V, Galanis P, Velonakis E, Papadatou D, Sourtzi P. Impact of job satisfaction on greek nurses' health-related quality of life. Saf Health Work 2015;6:324-8.
- Ruiz-Fernández MD, Pérez-García E, Ortega-Galán ÁM. Quality of life in nursing professionals: Burnout, fatigue, and compassion satisfaction. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:1253.
- 17. Gemmell LA, Terhorst L, Jhamb M, Unruh M, Myaskovsky L, Kester L. Gender and racial differences in stress, coping, and health-related quality of life in chronic kidney disease. J Pain Symptom Manage 2016;52:806-12.
- Suñer-Soler R, Grau-Martín A, Font-Mayolas S, Gras ME, Bertran C, Sullman MJ. Burnout and quality of life among Spanish healthcare personnel. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs 2013;20:305-13.
- 19. Shi L, Starfield B, Politzer R, Regan J. Primary care, self-rated health, and reductions in social disparities in health. Health Serv Res 2002;37:529-50.
- 20. Song T, Ding YW, Sun Y, He YN, Qi DJ, Wu Y, et al. A population-based study on health-related quality of life among urban community residents in Shenyang, Northeast of China. BMC Public Health 2015;15:921.
- 21. Malik M, Zafar A, Hussain A. Occupational stress among practicing pharmacists in Pakistan: The current dilemma. J Pharmacol Clin Res 2017;4:1-7.
- 22. Dellve L, Eriksson A. Health-promoting managerial work: A theoretical framework for a leadership program that supports knowledge and capability to craft sustainable work practices in daily practice and during organizational change. Societies 2017;7:9-12.
- 23. Engström S, Foldevi M, Borgquist L. Is general practice effective? A systematic literature review. Scand J Prim Health Care 2001;19:131-44.
- 24. Baicker K, Chandra A. Medicare spending, the physician workforce, and beneficiaries' quality of care: Areas with a high concentration of specialists also show higher spending and less use of high-quality, effective care. Health Aff 2004;23 Suppl 1:W4-184.
- 25. Iqbal MS. Predictors of health-related quality of life among healthcare professionals. Med Sci 2020;24:4445-52.
- 26. Smailhodzic E, Hooijsma W, Boonstra A, Langley DJ. Social media use in healthcare: A systematic review of effects on patients and on their relationship with healthcare professionals. BMC Health Serv Res 2016;16:1-4.
- 27. Iqbal MS, Iqbal MZ, Kassab YW, Khan SU. Evaluation of socioeconomic determinants of quality of life among healthcare providers. Asian J Pharm 2020;14:671-6.

Source of Support: Nil. Conflicts of Interest: None declared.