Standardization of Modified Dosage Form of Lodhradi Kashaya Prepared by Two Different Techniques

Varun Kumar Singh, K. R. C. Reddy

Department of Rasa Shastra, IMS, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Introduction: Time-dependent advancement in dosage form has been required to stay in competitive market and to provide ease of administration to the patients. Standardization, a tool to ensure quality and safety among consumer is primary concern during the preparation of any drug. Lodhradi Kashaya (formulation mentioned in Basavarajiyam and Vaidya Chintamani for diabetes mellitus) is decoction dosage form, so the demand versus supply has been questioned against its stability period. Hence, modification in the dosage form was attempted to ensure stability period, and thus, powder dosage form has been prepared. Aim: Standardization of modified dosage form of Lodhradi Kashaya has been done to ensure quality of finished drug. Materials and Methods: Two sample were prepared, one is by following the traditional method of Ayurveda followed by drying and grinding to get fine powder (LKLB). In second sample decoction was prepared by following continuous aqueous extraction and dried with spray drier technology to get fine powder (LKSD). Both the samples were standardized over several physicochemical testing, organoleptic properties along with microbial load and heavy metal content. Results: The comparative result was interpreted in this paper, major highlight are color and fineness of both powder was found different. No much variation in the physicochemical parameter. Microbial load of LKLB was much higher than LKSD but found within limit. Heavy metal content was found within the limit prescribed in The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India. Conclusion: Both the samples were standardized and quality of the modified dosage form of Lodhradi Kashaya has been assured.

Key words: Ayurveda, Lodhradi kashaya, spray drier, standardization

INTRODUCTION

India has a rich heritage of using ayurvedic systemofmedicine, having various references for the treatments of *Madhumeha* (diabetes), there are many formulations and lifestyle procedures were mentioned for its regulation and treatment. *Lodhradi Kashaya* was described as *Ayurvedic kwath* dosage form (decoction) in *Basavarajiyam Meharoga Nidan Lakshanam Chikitsadhyaya* (*Kaphaj Prameha*), and here, it was called as *Madhumehajeet* (win over diabetes) when administered with honey.^[1] The same formulation was also mentioned in *Vaidya Chintamani* under "*Prameha Prakaranam*" and indicated to take in Kashaya form.^[2]

To meet the increasing global demand for Ayurvedic, herbal and herbomineral medicines, it is essential to ensure the quality and consistency of drugs to achieve their safety and maximal efficacy. In Ayurveda, whole medicinal action is due to synergistic effect of each agent in spite of single constituents.^[3] Physicochemical standardization is a prerequisite in quality control of drugs both single as well as compound formulation as the efficacy of the drugs mainly depends on their chemical and physical properties. Standardization of a compound ayurvedic formulation is a critical and essential issue to ensure therapeutic efficacy and safety in rational use in the health care system. Ayurveda, the traditional Indian medicine, is the "great tradition of caring and curing" with sound philosophical, experiential, and experimental basis. Ayurvedic pharmaceutical sciences advocate the use of quality control tests to make sure that

Address for correspondence:

K. R. C. Reddy, Department of Rasa Shastra, IMS, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail: drkrcreddybhu@yahoo.co.in

Received: 28-01-2016 **Revised:** 26-03-2016 **Accepted:** 05-04-2016 the formulation must adhere to the standards mentioned in ayurvedic text/protocol prescribed by regulatory authority. Quality is the critical determinant of safety and efficacy of herbal medicines; however, herbal formulations rarely meet the standards of quality. Hence, there is a need for standardization, and development of reliable quality protocols for ayurvedic formulations using modern techniques of analysis is extremely important to validate and ensure quality in medicine even in commercial scale production.^[4,5]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Lodhradi Kashaya is decoction of *Kwath* churna having equal amount of *Lodhra* stem bark, *Katphala* stem bark, *Haritaki* fruit pulp and *Musta* rhizome [Table 1]^[6] of raw drug ingredients were collected from "raw drug supplier" Sikandrabad, Noida India through supplier of Sanath Product Ltd. and authenticated by the quality control unit at Sanath Product Ltd. and best precaution were taken to purchase the drugs. Raw materials (*Lodhra, Musta, Katphala,* and *Haritaki*) were made decoction separately by following traditional method mentioned in Ayurveda and converted into powder form after drying in oven followed by grinding.

First, equal amount of all the ingredients are made to Kwathchurna (coarse powder). This Kwathchurna was subject for Kashava (decoction) preparation by following the traditional method of Ayurveda.^[7] Filtered decoction was dried in oven and powdered to get fine powder (LKLB). In another batch aqueous decoction was prepared by successive complete aqueous extraction of same Kwathchurna and dried using spray drier technology to get modified dosage form of Kashaya as spray dried powder (LKSD).^[8] Physicochemical standardization of Lodhradi Kashava powder (LKLB and LKSD) and raw material extract powder were done immediately after preparation, with analytical grade chemical following the standard procedure mention in The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India (API) and testing protocol for Ayurveda, Siddha and Unani drugs. The samples were evaluated for different organoleptic characteristics, as well as for standardization parameters like determination of ash value^[9] (total ash and acid insoluble ash), determination of extractive values^[10] (in water, methanol, ethyl acetate), solubility in water at a different temperature and its fineness.[11]

| Table 1: Ingredients of Lodhradi Kashaya | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|------------|--|
| Plant | Botanical name | Family | Part used | |
| Lodhra | S. racemosa Roxb. | Symplocaceae | Stem bark | |
| Haritaki | T. chebula Retz. | Combretaceae | Fruit pulp | |
| Musta | C. rotundus Linn | Cyperaceae | Rhizome | |
| Katphala | M. esculenta | Myricaceae | Stem bark | |

S. racemosa: Symplocos racemosa, T. chebula: Terminalia chebula, C. rotundus: Cyperus rotundus, M. esculenta: Myrica esculenta

Moisture content^[10]

Moisture analysis has been done by using Shimadzu moisture analyzer MOC-120H at room temperature.

Determination of pH^[12]

The sample was dissolve in water to prepare 1% and 5% strength solution, and then pH was measured by digital pH meter using glass membrane electrode at room temperature.

Heavy metal analysis^[13]

Heavy metal is analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Sample was dissolved in 50% HNO_3 for the digestion that was further diluted with distilled water.

Microbial load^[14]

Both samples were subjected for the microbial contamination. LKSD was taken after 48 h of its preparation, whereas LKLB was evaluated after 30 days of its preparation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The World is standing over diabetic bomb as in 2014 the global prevalence of diabetes was estimated to be 9% among adults. In 2012, an estimated 1.5 million deaths were directly caused by diabetes and among them Cardiovascular disease is responsible for between 50% and 80% of deaths in people. About 347 million people worldwide have diabetes, among them 90% have diabetes mellitus (DM).^[15]

Since *kwath* is the liquid dosage form which is always questioned for stability because decoction was indicated to take fresh in ayurvedic classics. To answer the stability issue, the modification was done and dosage form was modified from decoction (liquid) to *Kashaya* powder. After medicine preparation, standardization of finished drug was done to ensure the quality and to assure reproducibility and flourish a data that can be utilized to limit batch to batch variation in future.

Organoleptic characteristic of the *Lodhradi Kashaya* shows that formulation is dark brown, smooth, astringent, bitter and possesses specific aromatic odor. Aromatic odor may be due to the mixed constituents the ingredients (Tables 2). Bitter and astringent test is due to the *tikta*, *katu*, and *Kashaya Rasa* present in ingredients. In terms of phytochemicals, it may be tannins and polyphenols that are responsible for its taste.^[16] Analytical evaluation of the *Lodhradi Kashaya* powder shows that moisture content of the LKLB and LKSD are 6.35% and 5.86% respectively while extract powder of *Lodhra, Musta*, *Katphala*, *Haritaki* are 7.39%, 4.35%, 4.90% and 8.65%,

respectively. Moisture content denotes the presence of water content in the sample which was responsible for stability period and intactness of the products. It also reflects hygroscopicity nature of samples.^[17] The presence of excess moisture is conducive to the promotion of mold and bacterial growth, and subsequently to deterioration and spoilage of the drug.^[18] Ash value is the common method to know the adulteration of the inorganic materials, and it has greater importance in the quality control and standardization. Higher the inorganic material higher will be the ash value.[19] Total ash value of the LKSD and LKLB were 10.68% and 12.24% respectively (Table 3) while extract powder of Lodhra, Musta, Katphala, Haritaki are 10.25%, 9.35%, 9.90%, 11.26%, respectively, which denotes that there are some inorganic material present in the product that may be due to plant constituent and physical impurities. Acid insoluble ash value of the LKSD and LKLB were 0.47% and 0.52% which represent the siliceous content

| Table 2: Organoleptic characteristic ofLKSD and LKLB | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Organoleptic properties | LKSD | LKLB | | |
| Appearance | Soft and smooth fine powder | Granular and fine | | |
| Odor | Aromatic | Aromatic | | |
| Taste | Astringent and bitter | Astringent and bitter | | |
| Color | Dark brown | Blackish brown | | |
| Touch | Smooth | Rough and hard | | |

in the sample.^[18] Extractive value represents the quantity of the phytoconstituents and materials that are soluble in the respective solvent. It is also related to the availability of drugs in a different medium in body through different solvent carriers. Water soluble extractive value of the LKSD and LKLB were 84.95% and 78.60% respectively. Extract powder of Lodhra, Musta, Katphala, Haritaki has 10.25%, 9.35%, 9.90%, 11.26%, respectively, water soluble extractive value. Methanol soluble extractive was found 51.21%, 46.30%, 42.6%, 38.25%, 24.75% and 52.60%, respectively (Table-3), for LKSD, LKLB, Lodhra, Musta Katphala and Haritaki. Ethyl acetate extractive value was found 0.86% for LKSD while nil in LKLB. Any change in the extractive value refers the change in the constituents thus it helps in the standardization and reproducibility of the drugs. On the basis of methanol soluble, water soluble extractive value, it is obvious that Lodhradi Kashava have greater solubility in water when compared to alcohol and ether, which depicts indicates more bioavailability of Lodhradi Kashava in water medium.[19] Water soluble extractive value is due to the presence of sugars, acids, polar constituents, glycosides of steroid, alkaloids, and coumarins.[20] Alcohol soluble extractive value shows the presence of fewer amounts of polar substances like phenols, tannins, glycosides and flavonoids.^[20] pH of 1% solution of the LKSD and LKLB were found to be 3.55 and 3.50, respectively, while extract powder of Lodhra, Musta, Katphala, Haritaki have 4.10, 5.20, 4.80, 2.80 pH. pH of 1% solution of the LKSD and LKLB were found to be 3.42 and 3.26, respectively (Table 3), which denotes that solution of the formulation is acidic in nature. All the powder drug of LKSD was passed through 80# mesh size while approximately 95% of LKLB

| Table 3: Physico-chemical properties of different samples of raw material and Lodhradi Kashaya | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Parameter | LKSD* (%) | LKLB* | Lodhra* | Musta* | Katphala* | Haritaki* |
| Moisture content | 5.86 | 6.35 | 7.39 | 4.35 | 4.90 | 8.65 |
| Water soluble extractive value | 84.95 | 78.60 | 72.90 | 79.00 | 65.25 | 83.75 |
| Methanol soluble extractive value | 51.21 | 46.30 | 42.6 | 38.25 | 24.75 | 52.60 |
| Ethyl acetate soluble extractive value | 0.86 | Nil | Not done | Not done | Not done | Not done |
| Total ash value | 10.68 | 12.24 | 10.25 | 9.35 | 9.90 | 11.26 |
| Acid insoluble ash value | 0.47 | 0.52 | Not done | Not done | Not done | Not done |
| pH (1% solution) | 3.55 | 3.50 | 4.10 | 5.20 | 4.80 | 2.80 |
| pH (5% solution) | 3.42 | 3.26 | Not done | Not done | Not done | Not done |
| Sieve analysis (80# size) | 100 pass | Approximately 95% pass | Not done | Not done | Not done | Not done |

*Mean of three readings (*n*=3)

| Table 4: Heavy metal content of LKSD and LKLB | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| Element | Wavelength (nm) | LKSD* (ppm) | LKLB* (ppm) | Limit (ppm) | |
| Cadmium | 228.802 | 0.020 | 0.011 | 0.3 | |
| Lead | 220.353 | 0.416 | 0.57 | 10 | |
| Zinc | 213.9 | 1.26 | 1.09 | - | |
| Mercury | 253.652 | Not detected | Not detected | 1 | |
| Arsenic | 193.696 | Not detected | Not detected | 3 | |

*Mean of three readings (*n*=3)

| Table 5: Microbial load of LKSD and LKLB | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------------------|--|--|
| Testing parameter | LKSD | LKLB | Limit | | |
| Total bacterial count (CFU/g) | 60 | 942 | 1×10⁵ | | |
| Total fungal count (CFU/g) | 27 | 430 | 1×10 ³ | | |
| E. coli | Absent | Absent | Absent | | |
| Salmonella sp. | Absent | Absent | Absent | | |
| P. aeruginosa | Absent | Absent | Absent | | |
| P. aureus | Absent | Absent | Absent | | |

E. coli: Escherichia coli, P. aeruginosa: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, P. aureus: Pseudomonas aureus

was passed through, that refers that powder is fine in nature and having good flow property.[11] Heavy metal estimation was done for cadmium, lead, zinc, mercury and arsenic, and the result shows that lead, cadmium and zinc were found to be 0.416, 0.020 and 1.26 ppm, respectively, in LKSD while 0.57, 0.011 and 1.09 ppm in LKLB (Table 4). Other heavy metals (mercury and arsenic) were not detected. Heavy metal contents were found here complies within limit.^[21] The microbial load was calculated based on agar media. Total bacterial count was found 60 and 942 CFU/g for LKSD and LKLB respectively (Table 5) Total fungal count was found 24 CFU/g and 430 CFU/g for LKSD and LKLB respectively. The microbial load was found within limit^[21] and it reflect that proper hygiene norms followed during the preparation of formulation and packing. It shows that finished product is safe for use, and all the data are complies within the prescribed limit while physicochemical data support that product is safe and stable.

CONCLUSION

Lodhradi Kashaya is ayurvedic formulation, mention for *Madhumeha* (DM) has been indicated to take in liquid form. But in present study *Kashaya* (liquid) dosage form was converted to powder. Standardization of *Lodhradi Kashaya* powder made by two different techniques (LKSD and LKLB) were done on various parameters. Organoleptic characteristic was found nearly similar except touch and appearance. The physicochemical profile of both the sample was slightly different but no big difference was observed. Microbial load of LKLB was found little higher but within limit prescribed in API. Similarly, the heavy metal was found within limit ensuring safety of the formulation. This standardization data will help to maintain reproducibility in future as well as to ensure quality and safety in both samples and also aid some standard for the *Lodhradi Kashaya* formulation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are very thankful to the administration and working staff of Sanat Pharmaceutical Ltd. Noida for their support in preparation of medicine and analytical work. We are also thankful to Narendra Kumar Singh, Assistant Professor Ayurvedic Pharmacy Lab. RGSC-BHU. We are thankful to DST, Ministry of Science and Technology for their INSPIRE Fellowship award and financial support.

REFERENCES

- Neelkanth B, Lavekar GS. Basavarajiyam. New Delhi: Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences; 2007. p. 329.
- Vallabhacharya, Reddy KR. Vaidya Chintamani. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia Publication; 2013. p. 779-80.
- 3. Singh VK, Singh NK. Physico-chemical and phytochemical standardization of chitrak haritaki avaleha. Int J Pharm Biol Arch 2015;6:12-6.
- 4. Elamthuruthy AT, Shah CR, Khan TA, Tatke PA, Gabhe SY. Standardization of marketed Kumariasava – An ayurvedic *Aloe vera* product. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2005;37:937-41.
- Cardellina JH 2nd. Challenges and opportunities confronting the botanical dietary supplement industry. J Nat Prod 2002;65:1073-84.
- Singh VK, Reddy KR. Validation of Lodhradi kashaya (ayurvedic formulation) made by traditional method and contemporary spray drier method through FTIR spectroscopy. Int J Pharm Anal Res 2015;4:386-90.
- Sharangdhar, Tripathi B. Sharangdhar Samhita, Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati Prakashan; 2010: Madhyam Khand 2/1.
- Singh VK, Reddy KR. Pharmaceutical processing and standardization of modified dosage form of Lodhradi Kashaya as spray dried powder. Int J Green Pharm 2015;9:252-6.
- 9. Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Indian Pharmacopoeia. Appendix 3.38: A54. New Delhi: Controller of Publications; 1996. p. 2.
- 10. Lohar DR. Protocol for Testing of ASU Medicine. Ghaziabad: PLIM, Department of AYUSH; 2011. p. 50.
- Lohar DR. Protocol for Testing of ASU Medicine. Ghaziabad: PLIM, Department of AYUSH; 2011. p. 110, 37.
- CCRAS. Pharmacopoeial Standard for Ayurvedic Formulation. Revised Edition. New Delhi: CCRAS; 1987. p. 488-9, 490.
- Anonymous. The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, Part I. Reprinted 1st ed., Vol. I. Appendix 2, (2.3.3). New Delhi: Department of AYUSH, Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; 2001. p. 150.
- Anonymous. The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, Part II. 1st ed., Vol. I. Appendix 2, (2.4). New Delhi: Government of India: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; 2008. p. 163.
- 15. Singh VK, Reddy KR. Management of diabetes mellitus and its complications by Lodhra: A review. Int J Ayurvedic Med 2015;6:305-9.
- 16. Sharma PV. Dravyaguna Vijanana. Vol. 2. Varanasi:

Choukhambha Bharati Acadmy; 2006. p. 696, 576, 370, 753.

- Nasreen J, Afaque SH, Khan NA, Ahmad G, Ansari AA. Physico-chemical studies of the gum acacia. Nat Prod Radiance 2008;7:335-7.
- Ariyanathan S, Saraswathy A, Rajamanickam GV. Quality control standards for the roots of three *Plumbago* species. Indian J Pharm Sci 2010;72:86-91.
- 19. Baragi UC, Baragi PC, Vyas MK, Shukla VJ. Standardization and quality control parameters of *Dashanga Kwatha* Ghana tablet: An ayurvedic

formulation. Int J Ayurveda Res 2011;2:42-7.

- 20. Saraswathy A, Devi SN, Ramasamy D. Antioxidant, heavy metals and elemental analysis of *Holoptelea integrifolia* planch. Indian J Pharm Sci 2008;70: 576-683.
- 21. Lohar DR. Protocol for Testing of ASU Medicine. Ghaziabad: PLIM, Department of AYUSH; 2011. p. 36.

Source of Support: DST, Ministry of Science and Technology for their INSPIRE Fellowship award and financial support. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.